

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/438,676	Applicant(s) BLACH ET AL.	
	Examiner Glenn K. Dawson	Art Unit 3731	

All Participants:

(1) Glenn K. Dawson.

(2) Karen Fitzsimmons.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

40

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: it was agreed to clearly limit the method to a non-human animal. this has always been the intention of the applicant and the specification included definitions of the animal as being a non-human animal. However, in a different area of the specification, the device is stated as being useable on a human. Therefore, to avoid any confusion, this amendment was made to clearly limit the method to that of a non-human animal..